



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,489	11/09/2001	Janusz B. Pawliszyn	39501	5283

24629 7590 07/29/2004

DARYL W SCHNURR  
MILLER THOMSON LLP  
PO BOX 578  
SUITE 700, 22 FREDERICK STREET  
KITCHENER, ON N2G 4A2  
CANADA

EXAMINER

NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1753

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/986,489	PAWLISZYN, JANUSZ B.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Janusz Pawliszyn. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07272004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Parce '257, Soane '962 of record, and Pawliszyn 5,759,370 (page 2 of the specification).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Professor Pawliszyn would like to amend claim 16 to better reflect the embodiment in Figure 1C. In his view, the embodiment of Figure 1C has an unexpected benefit for performing isoelectric focussing by creating a pH gradient with the tapered channel. It is also distinguished from Parce and Soane in not having intersecting channels. The examiner did not indicate these features as being allowable because if claimed they would significantly change the scope of claim 16 and would require further consideration of the prior art, especially Pawliszyn 5,759,370. Professor Pawliszyn also stated that in Claim 28 "prevent" should be -- permit --.